



Effect of soil and foliar fertilizers and their interactions on some vegetative growth of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.)

Ali H. Jasim, Ayad H. Ali, Sulaf A. Lilo
College of Agriculture, Al-Qasim Green University
Corresponding author: ajasim@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The experiment was Conducted on the extension experiments farm in Babylon during the growing season 2013 - 2014 to study the effect of 5 soil fertilization treatments [control, 200 kg.ha⁻¹ of NPK (18-18-0) , 4 and 8 t.ha⁻¹ of compost of poultry] , and its interaction with 4 treatments of foliar fertilizers [control, spray urea 1 g / liter, spraying humic acid 2 ml.l⁻¹ and spray polimet 2 ml.l⁻¹] on growth and yield of fenugreek. Randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications was used. Seeds are sown on lines (30 cm apart) in 21.10.2013 and the experimental unit contained 6 lines. After a week of germination the seedlings were thinned to 10 cm apart. Soil fertilizers were added as side dressing and the foliar fertilizers were added twice in 15/1 and 01/02/2014. The results showed that chemical fertilizer was superior significantly compared to other treatment in plant height , number of leaves , leaf area and wet and dry weight, while poultry (8 t.ha⁻¹) was superior compared to control in branches number and wet weight. Urea spray was superior in plant height, leaves no. and soft weight. Polimet spray was superior compared to control in branches.plant⁻¹. The interaction between the soil and spraying fertilizers had a significant effect in increasing plant height, branches no. , leaves no. , leaf area and wet and dry weight.

Keywords: *chemical and organic fertilizer, humic, polemet, urea*

INTRODUCTION

Fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum - graecum* L.) is herbaceous annual plant belong to legume family. Fenugreek seeds contain proteins (25-36% of the dry weight of the plant), and a range of vitamins [1]. And it contains different amounts of nutrients most important like iron, calcium, phosphorus, potassium and other mineral elements [2]. Soil and plant management influenced plant growth and used to increase the quantity and quality of the crop yield, foremost of which adding fertilizer, whether chemical or organic, has increased the trend toward adding organic fertilizer to alleviate pollution and environmental damage which caused by chemical fertilizers. Some studies have shown that the spraying humic acid led to improve plant vegetative growth, and that foliar fertilizer as a

way complementary to soil fertilize can give a quick cure for the lack of the elements, and supplied in sufficient quantity during the seed formation period [3 , 4 , 5 , 6]. Studies have shown that spraying foliar fertilizer led to increase chickpea yield and its components compared to control [7]. Nitrogen plays an important role in growth and production yield of fenugreek, as it leads to increased growth and the number of branches. In spite of planting and production this crop in Iraq since old time before, but its cultivation is still experiencing a lot of problems [8, 9]. Therefore this experiment had been conducting to study the effect of soil fertilization with metal and organic fertilizer and its interactions with foliar fertilizer, and the possibility of replacing organic

fertilizer instead of metal fertilizer as soil fertilizer with promotion of additional foliar fertilizers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment carried out during the winter season of 2013-2014 in the extension farm (in sand clay loam , table 1) at Al-Mhnanwiya (8 km north west Hilla / Babylon/Iraq to study the effect of soil and foliar fertilization and their interactions on growth and yield of fenugreek. An factorial experiment was carried out according to randomized complete block design with three replications, which included two factors, the first included four treatments of soil fertilization (control, fertilizer with NPK 200 kg.ha⁻¹ as recommended fertilizer, 4 and 8 t.ha⁻¹ of poultry manure, and the second factor included four spraying fertilizer treatments (control, 2 ml.l⁻¹ of urea, 1 g.l⁻¹ of liquid

humus acid, 2ml. l⁻¹ of polimet. After plowing and leveling the soil, divided into three replicates each of it contained 16 experimental units (3*2 m) and 1m between each to another. Each experimental unit contained 10 lines (30 cm apart and 2m long. Local variety of fenugreek seeds were seeded in 21/10/2013. Irrigation and hand weeding were done as needed. Soil fertilization was done before seeding according treatments and the spraying was conducted in 2nd and 17th January according to treatments up to full wet until the first drop from the plant. Measurements were taken upon vegetative growth on 12 Feb. and 28 April, included plant height, number of leaves, number of branches, leaf area, wet and dry weight and chlorophyll in leaves. The statistical analysis conducted by the statistical program (Edition) Gen stat, according to the design practice. The averages were compared according to less significant difference (LSD_{0.05}) [10].

Table 1: Physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil

trait	value	trait	value
sand	176 g.kg ⁻¹	Available N	73.2 mg.kg ⁻¹
silt	484 g.kg ⁻¹	Available P	12.8 mg.kg ⁻¹
clay	340 g.kg ⁻¹	Available K	276 mg.kg ⁻¹
texture	Silty clay loam	Ec	3 dS.m ⁻¹
Organic matter	1.6 g.kg ⁻¹	pH	7.14

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 2 shows that the addition of soil fertilizer led to significant increase of plant height compared to control (18.7 cm), without a significant differences between the chemical (24.03 cm) and organic fertilizer treatments 8 and 4 t.ha⁻¹ (23.97 and 23.37 cm respectively). This increase in plant height by adding chemical fertilizer may be due to the role of nitrogen in

building up amino acids that is necessary for growth as it enters in the composition of protein, which promotes cell division, as well as lead to increase the activity GAs inside plant tissues, which is working in increasing cells elongation [11], and this is consistent with [9] who found a significant increase of bean plant height with increasing nitrogen levels.

And also due to the role of phosphorus in increasing plant height. These results are consistent with increasing plant height, this is consistent with [12] that [13] and [8], who concluded that increased levels of addition of phosphate led to increase plant height. From nitrogen fertilizer caused a significant increase in the table 2 appeared that foliar fertilizers caused an increase in average plant height. The interaction between adding soil plant height compared to control, and urea spraying gave and foliar fertilizers had a significant effect in increasing the highest plant height (23.25 cm) without significant plant height compared to control (17.4 cm), and reached its differences compared to humic acid and polimet (22.52 and maximum height (25.2 cm) when adding chemical 22.53 cm respectively). This increase in plant height when fertilizer * spraying urea. spraying urea may be due to the role of nitrogen, which leads to increase formation of amino acids and protein synthesis, which promotes cell division, and then reflected

Table (2) effect of soil and foliar fertilizers on plant height (cm)

Soil fertilizers	Foliar fertilizers				Average of soil
	control	urea	Humic acid	polimet	
control	17.40	19.33	18.80	19.27	18.70
Chemical	24.20	25.20	23.60	23.13	24.03
Poultry manure 4 t	22.07	24.00	23.60	23.80	23.37
Poultry manure 8 t	23.40	24.47	24.07	23.93	23.97
Average of foliar f.	21.77	19.33	18.80	19.27	
	LSD _{0.05} AB=2.851		A, B= 1.426		

Table (3) shows that adding soil fertilizer led to equipped for major elements and some trace elements and increase the number of branches per plant significantly when improve growth and increase the process of compared to control, and the treatment of 8 t.ha⁻¹ poultry photosynthesis in plants, which leading to increase manure gave the highest number of branches (20.80) branches in plant [19], who observed the same results in which was not differ significantly with chemical fertilizer broad bean plants. Table (3) shows that spraying nutrients (19.86). This may be due to the role of organic matter led to increase the number of branches and polimet gave releasing of the nutrients as nitrogen that is necessary for the highest number of branches (19.27), which was not the elongation, division, growth and development of the significantly differ with spraying urea or humic (18.18 and plant and the role of organic fertilizers in improving the 18.87, respectively), while control gave the lowest rate of vital properties of the soil and increase the readiness of the branches number (17.40). The increases when spraying absorption of most of the major and minor elements polimet is due to cytokinin which leads to break the apical which will reflect positively in the overall activity of the dominance and increased the branches [20]. This was plant and increase the number of branches [14], this results consistent with [21] on broad bean. The interaction consistent what [15] on fenugreek. Also It may be due to between adding soil and foliar fertilizers had a significant the role of organic matter in increasing the depth and effect in increasing the number of branches per plant and complexity of plant root system, which reflect positively all interaction were superior compared to control that gave in the increasing number of branches [16], and this is the lowest average number (13.50). The interaction of 8 consistent with [17] on fenugreek, and [18] on beans. The t.ha⁻¹ poultry manure × spray urea gave the highest reason may be due to the role of organic matter in the well branches (21.70).

Table (3) effect of soil and foliar fertilizers on bpanches.plant⁻¹

Soil fertilizers	Foliar fertilizers				Average of soil
	control	urea	Humic acid	polimet	
control	13.50	15.03	15.63	15.80	14.99
Chemical	18.77	20.20	20.80	19.67	19.86
Poultry manure 4 t	17.67	15.77	19.00	20.07	18.12
Poultry manure 8 t	19.67	21.70	20.03	21.53	20.80
Average of foliar f.	17.40	18.18	18.87	19.27	
	LSD _{0.05}	LSD AB= 2.857		LSD A, B= 1.429	

The results of Table (4) indicated that that gave the lowest number (21.92). This is consistent addition of soil fertilizers led to a significant increase with [22]. Spray nutrients had no significant effect on the plant leaves number and the chemical fertilizer gave the number of leaves per plant, but it tend to increase. The highest number of (28.50), which did not differ interaction between soil and foliar fertilizers caused a significantly from (4 and 8 t.ha⁻¹) Poultry manure (26.70 significant effect compared to control (20.73) and chemical and 27.93), while differed significantly from the control fertilization with spraying polimet was superior (29.0).

Table (4) effect of soil and foliar fertilizers on plant leaves number

Soil fertilizers	Foliar fertilizers				Average of soil
	control	urea	Humic acid	polimet	
control	20.73	22.33	21.83	22.80	21.92
Chemical	27.67	29.17	28.17	29.00	28.50
Poultry manure 4 t	26.07	27.13	26.93	26.67	26.70
Poultry manure 8 t	27.43	28.23	27.73	28.33	27.93
Average of foliar f.	25.47	26.72	26.17	26.70	
	LSD _{0.05}	LSD AB= 5.343		LSDA , B= 2.672	

Table (5) shows that soil fertilizers led to consistent with [9] and [23]. The increases in leaves area increase leaf area significantly compared to control (369.8 cm²), and chemical fertilizer gave the highest average (473.1 cm²), which did not differ significantly from 4 and 8 t.ha⁻¹ of poultry manure (459.6 and 462.3 cm²). This soil and foliar fertilizers had a significant effect in increase by chemical fertilizer due to the role of nitrogen in increasing leaf area compared to control (333.7 cm²), and increasing the activity of GAs within plant tissue, which the highest value obtained from chemical fertilizer * caused cells elongation and expansion [11]. This spraying urea (488.0 cm²).

Table (5) effect of soil and foliar fertilizers on leaf area (cm²)

Soil fertilizers	Foliar fertilizers				Average of soil
	control	urea	Humic acid	polimet	
control	333.7	386.7	379.7	379.0	369.8
Chemical	464.3	488.0	472.7	467.3	473.1
Poultry manure 4 t	435.3	466.0	458.3	478.7	459.6
Poultry manure 8 t	465.7	464.0	460.7	459.0	462.3
Average of foliar f.	424.8	451.2	442.8	446.0	
	LSD _{0.05}	LSD AB= 87.35		LSD A,B=43.67	

Table (6) shows that soil fertilizers led to increase significant effect on fresh weight. The interaction between plant vegetative wet weight and 8 t.ha⁻¹ poultry manure soil and foliar fertilizers caused a significant effect gave highest weight (31.86 g) which was not differ compared to control. Higher vegetative plant fresh weight significantly for chemical fertilizers (31.71 g), while obtained from soil chemical fertilizer × spraying humic acid (33.14 g) followed by chemical or chicken manure × its effect in increasing plant height (table 2), branches spray urea (32.91 and 32.88 respectively), while control number (table 3), plant leaves number (table 4) and plant leaf area (table 5). Spray nutrients did not have a

Table(6) effect of soil and foliar fertilizers on vegetative plant fresh weight(g)12/2

Soil fertilizers	Foliar fertilizers				Average of soil
	control	urea	Humic acid	polimet	
control	21.89	26.25	26.67	26.10	25.23
Chemical	31.84	32.91	33.14	28.95	31.71
Poultry manure 4 t	26.74	28.22	28.57	28.19	27.93
Poultry manure 8 t	30.92	32.88	31.54	32.09	31.86
Average of foliar f.	27.85	30.07	29.98	28.83	
	LSD _{0.05}	LSD AB= 6.021		LSD A , B=3.011	

Table 7 shows that all soil fertilizing treatments led to a significant increase in plant dry weight, compared to control (3.452 g), and the highest plant dry weight obtained from chemical fertilizers (4.418 g), which did not differ significantly for the treatment of 8 t.ha⁻¹ of poultry manure but showed significant differences compared to chemical fertilizer increased dry weight by its role in improving the qualities of soil physical, chemical and biological [26]. Organic fertilizers analysis and it released organic acids and nutrients that absorbed by the roots during plant growth period, and then reflected in increasing growth not affect the nutrients supply only, but it improve soil characteristics and increase plant roots [28]. Foliar spraying did not have a significant effect on plant dry weight. The interaction between soil and foliar fertilizers caused a significant effect compared to control and the interaction of soil fertilizers with or without foliar spraying led to increase plant dry weight significantly. The interaction of chemical fertilizer with foliar spraying of humic acid was superior (4.633 g), while control gave the lowest average of dry weight (3.310 g).

Table(7) effect of soil and foliar fertilizers on vegetative plant dry weight(g)12/2

Soil fertilizers	Foliar fertilizers				Average of soil
	control	urea	Humic acid	polimet	
control	3.310	3.413	3.563	3.520	3.452
Chemical	4.360	4.270	4.633	4.497	4.418
Poultry manure 4 t	4.023	4.253	4.137	4.097	4.128
Poultry manure 8 t	4.337	4.397	4.067	4.180	4.245
Average of foliar f.	4.008	4.083	4.100	4.051	
	LSD _{0.05}	LSD AB= 0.4069		LSD A , B= 0.2034	

REFERENCES

- Mehrafarin ,A.; H.N. Badi ; G. Noor; E. Zand; S. Rezazadeh. 2011. Effects of environmental factors and methanol on germination and emergence of Persian Fenugreek. Afr. J. Agric. Res., 6(19):4631-4641.
- Ali , M. A. ; M. Abu Sayeed ; M. S. Alam ; M. S. Yeasmin ; A. M. Khan and I. I. Muhammad . 2012. Characteristics of oils and nutrient contents of Nigella sativa L. and fungreek seeds. Bull. Chem. Soc. Ethiop. 2012, 26(1), 55-64 .
- Shah, S.H., I. Ahmad and S.Samiullah.2007.Response of Nigella sativa to foliar application of GA and kinetin. Biol. Plantarum, 51(3):563-66.
- Jasim, A.H., 2007. Effect of foliar fertilization on growth and yield of broad bean . Alanbar J. Agric. Sci., 5(2):177-182.
- Jasim, A.H. and Obaid A.S. 2014. Effect of foliar fertilizers spray, boron and their interaction on broad bean yield. Scientific Papers. Series B, Horticulture. Vol. LVIII, : 271-276 , Romania.

- 6- Jasim, A.H., 2015. Effect of soil sulfur fertilizer and some foliar fertilizers on growth and yield of broccoli in saline soil. *Ann. West Univ. ser. Biol., XVIII (2):123-130.*
- 7- El-Habbasha, S.F., Amal G. Ahmed and M. H. Mohamed, 2012. Response of some chickpea cvs to compound foliar fertilizer under sandy soil conditions. *J. App. Sci. Res., 8(10): 5177-83.*
- 8- Mehta, R.S.; B.S. Patel and Bhagirathram . 2012. Yield and nutrient uptake of fenugreek as influenced by nitrogen, phosphorus and bio-fertilizer. *Ann. Agric. Res. , 33 (1&2) : 45-52.*
- 9- Rahimi A.1, Kordlaghari KH. , Kelidari AB. 2012. Effects of different rates of nitrogen and phosphorus on morphological traits of bean in Yasouj region. *Int. J. Agri-Sci., 2(2):161-166.*
- 10-Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie. 1981. Principles and procedures of statistics: A Biometrical Approach. 2nd ed. Mc-Graw-Hill, New York.
- 11-Lucas M, Daviere JM, Rodriguez-Falcon M, Pontin M, Iglesias-Pedraz JM, Fankhauser C, Blazquez MA, Titarenko E, Prat S. 2008. A molecular framework for light and GA control of cell elongation. *Nature 451: 480-484.*
- 12-Hashemabadi, D. 2013. Phosphorus fertilizers effect on the yield and yield components of faba bean . *Ann. Bio. Res , 4(2):181-184.*
- 13-Zandi, p., Shirani – Rad A.H., Daneshian, J. and Bazkar – Khatibani.2011. Agronomic and morphologic analysis of Fenugreek under nitrogen fertilizer and plant density via factor. *Afr. J. Agric. Res. , 6 (5):1134-1140 .*
- 14-Sarkar, M. , M. Pramanik , G.M. Faruk, and M.Y. Ali (2004). Effect of green manures and levels of nitrogen on some growth attributes of transplant aman rice. *Pakistan J. Biol. Sci., 7: 739-742.*
- 15-Patel, B.S. ; S. G. Patel ; S. P. Patel and A. U. Amin . 2010. Integrated nutrient management in fenugreek . *J. Spices and Aromatic Crops , 19 (1 & 2) : 68-70.*
- 16-Singh, R. and S. K. Agarwal. 2001. Growth and yield of wheat as influenced by levels of farmyard manure and nitrogen. *Indian J. Agronomy. 46 (3): 462-467.*
- 17-Naimuddin, O. P. ; A. G. Lal ; K. Kant; Y. K. Sharma and S. F. Ali. 2014. Response of fenugreek to organic manures and rhizobium inoculation. *J. Spices and Aromatic Crops, 23 (1) : 110-114.*
- 18-Singh, A. and Vijayalakshmi, A. 2013. Residual effect of integrated nutrient management with farmyard manure, coir pith and press mud compost on cluster. *I.J.S.N., 4(3):405-407.*
- 19- Abu Seeda, M. 1999. Potential benefits and hazard of land application of sludge :A review proc. Seminar and use of chemical fertilizers and environment 17-21 Dec.301-323.
- 20- Stirk, W.A., Novák, O., Václavíková, K., Tarkowski, P., Strnad, M. and van Staden, J. (2008) Spatial and Temporal Changes in Endogenous Cytokinins in Developing Pea Roots. *Planta, 227, 1279-1289.*
- 21- Sabh A.Z., Shallan M.A., 2008. Effect of organic fertilization of broad bean by using different marine macro-algae in relation to the morphological characteristics and chemical constituents of the plant. *Aust. J. Basic and App l. Sci., 2(4):1076-1091.*
- 22- Raj, H. and K.K. Thakral. 2008. Effect of chemical fertilizers on growth, yield of funnel. *J. Spices and Aromatic Crops,17(2):134-9*
- 23- Rotaru, V. 2010. The effects of phosphorus application on soybean plants under suboptimal moisture conditions. *Lucrări Științifice , 53:27-30.*
- 24- Ahmed, A.G. ; Ebtsam, A. EL-Housini, M.S. Hassanein and N. M. Zaki. 2012. Influence of organic and bio-fertilizer on growth and yield of two fenugreek cultivars grown in sandy soil. *Aust. J. Basic and Appl. Sci., 6(10): 469-476.*
- 25- Godara, A.S.; U.S. Gupta, R. Singh and R.S. Mehta . 2012. Effect of different combinations of organic and inorganic nutrient sources on productivity and profitability of fenugreek. *Int. J. Seed Spices 2(2), July 2012:34-37.*
- 26- Batra, L. 2004. Dehydrogenate Activity of Normal, Saline and Alkali Soils under Different Agricultural Management Systems. *J. Ind. Soc. Soil Sci ., 52: 160-163.*
- 27- Kumar, A., Hooda, R. S., Yadav, H. P., Chugh, L. K., Kumar, M. and Gera, R. 2009. Compensating nutrient requirement in pearl millet-wheat cropping system through manures and bio-fertilizers in semi- arid regions of Haryana. *J. Agric. Sci. , 79: 767-771 .*
- 28- Ramesh, P., Singh, M., Panwar, N. R., Singh, A. B. and Ramana, S. 2006. Response of pigeon pea varieties to organic manures and their influence on fertility and enzyme activity of soil. *Ind. J. Agric. Sci., 76: 252-254.*